Date: March 1, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Valerio Rios-Hernandez Defendant	Case No. 1:19-cr-00049-RJJ
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Ref that the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	ndings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed wor local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another not not rebutted that presumption.
•	re Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	- , ,
for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	re Findings (B) pear.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	er the safety of another person or the community.
	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cor 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be re 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	eleased in any case.
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorn corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from personal content of the custody of the Attorn corrections facility separate.	nity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United he person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge